TELEPHONE: 702.369,6800	1	Molly M. Rezac			
	1	Nevada Bar No. 7435			
	2	molly.rezac@ogletreedeakins.com			
	3	Erica J. Chee			
		Nevada Bar No. 12238			
	4	erica.chee@ogletreedeakins.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART,	PC		
	5 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1500				
		Las Vegas, NV 89169			
	6	Telephone: 702.369-6800			
	7	Fax: 702.369.6888			
	8	Robert F. Shaffer (pro hac vice)			
	0	robert.shaffer@finnegan.com District of Columbia Bar No. 472423			
	9	James R. Barney (pro hac vice)			
	10	james.barney@finnegan.com			
	11	District of Columbia Bar No. 473732 Anthony D. Del Monaco (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
	11	anthony.delmonaco@finnegan.com			
	12	District of Columbia Bar No. 978164			
	13	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW			
		Washington, DC 20001-4413			
	14	Telephone: 202.408.4000			
	15	Fax: 202.408.4400			
	16	Interactive Games Limited: and Interactive Games LLC			
	17				
	17				
	18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
	19	FOR THE DISTR	MCI OF NEVADA		
		CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC,	Case No.: 2:16-cv-00781-MMD-CWH		
	20	INTERACTIVE GAMES LIMITED, and	CONTRACT A DECEMBER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO		
	21	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RULE		
	22	Plaintiffs,	26(F) REPORT, TO FILE PROPOSED		
		VS.	PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND TO SERVE INITIAL DISCLOSURES AND		
	23		INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS		
	24	DRAFTKINGS, INC.,			
	25	Defendant.	(First Request)		
	26				
	27	Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, LR IA 6-2, and	LR 7-1, Plaintiffs CG Technology Development,		
	28	LLC, Interactive Games Limited, and Interac	etive Games LLC ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant		

DraftKings, Inc. ("Defendant"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and request an extension of time to file the Rule 26(f) Report, file a proposed protective order, and serve initial disclosures and infringement contentions. The current deadline to conduct these activities is September 12, 2016. The parties seek a two-week extension up to and including September 26, 2016. This is the parties' first request for an extension of these deadlines.

This extension is requested for good cause and not for any delay. The parties state as follows:

- 1. This action was filed on April 7, 2016. (ECF No. 1.) The Summons and Complaint were served on April 21, 2016. (ECF No. 15.)
- 2. On June 13, 2016, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 29.) The First Amended Complaint added two additional patents and associated claims for infringement.
- 3. On July 29, 2016, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). (ECF No. 37.)
- 4. On August 29, 2016, this Court issued an Order granting Defendant Big Fish Games, Inc.'s Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss in a separate case involving several of the same patents at issue here, *CG Technology Development, LLC et al. v. Big Fish Games, Inc.*, No. 16-cv-00857-RCJ-VCF (D. Nev. Aug. 29, 2016). ("The *Big Fish* Order," attached as **Exhibit A.**) In the *Big Fish* Order, the Court held, *inter alia*, that five (5) of the ten (10) patents that are also at issue in the present case are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for failure to claim patent-eligible subject matter—specifically, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,899,628; 7,029,394; 7,534,169; 8,342,924; and 9,111,417.
- 5. On August 31, 2016, Defendant contacted Plaintiffs regarding the applicability of the *Big Fish* Order to the present case, as well as possible extensions to certain upcoming case management deadlines. Plaintiffs agreed that the findings of patent-ineligibility under § 101 in the *Big Fish* Order apply directly to the same five (5) patents at issue in the present case, noted above. Plaintiffs further stated that they are currently determining how to move forward procedurally so as to preserve their appeal rights in this case with respect to those five (5) patents. Defendant agreed that the case management deadlines should be extended so the parties could determine the most

	1	efficient way to handle the implications of the	Big Fish Order in this case.			
	2	6. Therefore, the parties hereby i	respectfully request a short two-week extension of			
	3	time to (1) file the Rule 26(f) Report, (2) file a proposed protective order, and (3) serve initial				
	4	disclosures and infringement contentions.				
	5	DATED this 8th day of September, 2016.				
	6	Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP	Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak &			
	7		STEWART, P.C			
	8	/s/ Jonathan W. Fountain	/s/ Erica J. Chee			
	9	Michael J. McCue	Molly M. Rezac			
	9	Nevada Bar No. 6055	Nevada Bar No. 7435			
	10	Jonathan W. Fountain	Erica J. Chee			
	10	Nevada Bar No. 10351	Nevada Bar No. 12238			
	11	3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 600	3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste 1500			
	11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	Las Vegas, NV 89169			
	12					
LAS VEGAS, NV 89169 TELEPHONE: 702.369.6800	13	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP			
2.369 2.369	14					
ž Š	17	Robert H. Fischer (pro hac vice)	Robert F. Shaffer (pro hac vice)			
EGA:	15	Jonathan Berchadsky (pro hac vice)	James R. Barney (pro hac vice)			
AS V	10	1290 Avenue of the Americas	Anthony D. Del Monaco (pro hac vice)			
ᇉ	16	New York, NY 10104-3800	901 New York Avenue, NW			
	10	1010+ 3000	Washington, DC 2001-4413			
	17		washington, DC 2001-4413			
		Every template Certification of Control				
	18	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CG Technology			
			Development, LLC, Interactive Games			
	19	Jason M. Dorsky (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	Limited, and Interactive Games LLC			
		Kathryn E. Easterling (pro hac vice)				
	20	975 F Street, NW				
	21	Washington, DC 20004				
	22	Attorneys for Defendant, DraftKings, Inc.				
	23		ORDER			
			OMDER			
	24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	N			
	•	II IS SO OKDEKED.	Luca INC			
	25		CWO JIIX			
	-		· // \			
	26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
			* * 1			
	27		DATED: _SepteInber 9, 2016			
	28					